As stated in FAA Engineering Brief No. 36 (dated 5/86), “The function of a sealant is to seal the joint between two concrete surfaces.” Therefore, the sealant’s strength characteristics are less important than its ability to withstand joint movement and maintain adhesion.” This document goes on further to state that “Silicone sealant is not degraded by contact with jet fuel. Some swelling of the material will normally occur, but it will return to its original shape upon evaporation of the fuel, without loss of bond.”

Generally, for a sealant to be successful in an airfield application, it must meet the following requirements:

- Resistance to ultraviolet light
- Wide temperature flexibility
- Cyclic movement capability (both extension and compression)
- Fuel/oil resistance
- Jet blast resistance

Federal Specifications SS-S-200E, SS-S-1614A and ASTM Specification D 3569 attempt to test for the above performance requirements. However, when it comes to cyclic movement capability, they all fall short. The best cyclic movement test that closely relates to actual field conditions is ASTM C 719. See Table I for a brief comparison of these specifications.

Since there are few federal or ASTM specifications presently written for silicones, Dow Corning developed a test method to verify that silicone sealants can meet the requirements for airfield applications mentioned above.

A simulated fuel spill test joint (see Figure 1) was chosen along with ASTM C 719 cyclic testing. This test joint in combination with C 719 appeared to be a more accurate depiction of actual field conditions.

The test consists of forming several sealant test joints between two concrete blocks with a dam on top of each block (see Figure 1). The sealant is allowed to cure. The dam is filled with the test fluid (i.e., jet fuel). The fluid is then allowed to dissipate, as it would in the field. If more than one fluid is to be tested on the same joint, then approximately one week separates each fluid application. At the end of the fluid exposure, these same test joints are then subjected to cyclic testing per the ASTM C 719 specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Percent Volume Swell – Visual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JP-4</td>
<td>5 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skydrol B</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50/50 Glycol/H2O</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Fluid</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Approximate Volume Change after Exposure to Fluids

1Italics used by Dow Corning for emphasis.
During the initial contact with some fluids, the test joints showed some visual volume increase. However, after dissipation of the fluids, these same test joints did return to their original shape (see Figure 2 and Table II). More importantly, after further subjecting the same test joints to cyclic testing (after fluid dissipation), they showed no signs of bond loss.

The Dow Corning airfield application test method is a good indication that Dow Corning® brand silicone pavement products can provide the necessary airfield performance requirements. But the best indication is Dow Corning’s actual field track record, with applications dating back to 1980.